15 March 1970 Approved For Release 2004/10/28 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000300600017-9

Samizdat

Ümdergroumd

ALBERT PARRY, author of "The New Class Divided" and other books, heads Slavic studies at Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland.

which is a telescoping of Gosudar- mation. stvennoye Izdatelstvo, the name of word means "self." The wholeourselves"—that is, not the state, but we, the people.

Unlike the underground of Czarist times, today's samizdat has no printing presses (with rare exceptions): The K.G.B., the secret police, is too efficient. It is the typewriter, each page produced with four to eight, carbon copies, that does the job. By the thousands and tens of thousands of frail, smudged onionskin sheets, samizdat spreads across the land a mass of protests and petitions, secret court minutes, Alexander Solzhenit-, syn's banned novels, George Orwell's "Animal Farm" and "1984," Nicholas Berdyayev's philosophical essays, documents of the Czech Spring, all sorts of sharp political discourses and angry poetry.

The impudence of the movement, even at this time of heightened per- be identified. secution, reaches a point where inand typists are the youngsters them- one of the best collections of samizselves. The popularity of samizdat with the younger generation is attested by this widely told Moscow story:

wife's room. "Nastasha, you have been typing for five straight days," he says. "What takes so long?" "Oh, Ivan, don't you know?-I am typing Tolstoy's 'Anna Karenina,' that's what." "But why? There is the book. It's perfectly legible, you can read it in print." "Yes, but the revisite normant as glight 1/96/200e, and Rhys 85-01314R000300600017-9 won't read anything unless it's rather lew people protested in the 1 won't read anything unless it's typed."

ENSORSHIP existed even be- WESTERN Sovietologist cannot fore literature, say the Rus-study samizdat on the spot. The sians. And, we may add, cen- K.G.B. would quickly net him. But sorship being older, literature has to comprehensive channels of informabe craftier. Hence, the new and retion do thrive between samizdat and markably viable underground press its Western sympathizers. I have just in the Soviet Union called samizdat. returned from a swing through sev-The word is a play on Gosizdat, eral European centers of such infor-

In January, Munich's Institute for the monopoly-wielding State Publish- the Study of the U.S.S.R. ran in ing House. The sam part of the new London a three-day symposium, entirely in Russian, at which recent samizdat-translates as: "We publish Soviet defectors, mostly writers (headed by Anatoly Kuznetsov and living in England, Germany, France and the United States, discussed the problem of Soviet censorship and the ways of eluding it. I was invited to attend and listen. In London, at the conference and in numerous private. interviews, and later also in Munich, Frankfurt, Paris, Zagreb, Belgrade and New York, I met these and other experts on samizdat. Files and piles of the most diverse underground publications were placed before me. Facts, rumors, personal experiences, ideologies were offered by old Western hands in Kremlinology and the latest Russian perebezhchiki ("crossers-over," as defectors call themselves). In this report, necessarily, not all the sources of my data can-

In Frankfurt, a proud collector vitations to an evening get-together showed me the earliest underground include whispered lures that "a poet Soviet-era item known to have surpublished by samizdat will be pres- vived: a hand-written, solidly bound ent." Of late, samizdat publications book on religious themes done in have percolated even into the high Moscow in 1925. At Leyden Universchools, where some of the authors sity, Prof. Karel van het Reve has

dat books, brochures, and leaflets of the late nineteen-sixtles. The count. he told me, is 140 entries, and it is A Soviet official strides into his fast growing. The freshest 1970 specimens are just beginning to come in.

The starkest paucity of such publications occurred in Stalin's long period between, say, 1927 and his death in 1953. His terror was too embracive to allow much, if any, underground literature. Khrushchev mid-fifties and early sixties. Then

By ALBERT PARRY

Khrushchev's fall in October, 1964, dimmed the timid light. Still, the new Brezhnev-Kosygin terror was not so complete as Stalin's, and so underground typing and copying began to spurt in 1965-66.

The year 1965 was marked by the appearance of the clandestine journals Russkoye Slovo (Russian Word). Kolokol (The Bell), both named for anti-Czarist periodicals of a century earlier, and Sfinks (Sphinx). They contained essays of socio-political protest, but the emphasis was mainly. on free-spirited poetry.

In September, 1965, Andrei Sinyavkky and Yuli Daniel were arrested in Arkady Belinkov), but also musicians, Moscow for having published in the film directors and professors now West, for nearly a decade, their pseudonymous antiregime books. They were tried and condemned in

> "Do-it-yourselt" is the general idea. It means the forbidden writings that circulate among Soviet intellectuals.

February, 1966. The atmosphere of reaction and repression was hotting

Nonetheless, the underground press did not abate. Instead, it shifted its accent from poetry and other literary! content to politics. The protest of intellectuals against the Sinyavsky-Daniel case led to Alexander Ginz-"Belaya Kniga" burg's Book") of the complete minutes of the trial. In time, Ginzburg and hisgroup-Yuri Galanskov, Alexei Dobrovolsky, and Vera Lashkova-were also arrested and, in early 1968, tried. But the 400-page minutes of their secret trial became also available to samizdat. And it was in 1967-68 that one of the most remarkable documents of the underground appeared in samizdat: the call by Academician Andrei Sakharov, one of the fathers of the Soviet hydrogen bomb, for progress, coexistence and intellectual freedom-his warning against the resurgence of Stalinism in the Krem-

IN all the spate of underground

cont.